Creating Connection Through Facade Design

Reintroducing Human Scale to Modern Facades

HVG façades

Introduction

Building facades form the most direct point of contact between people and buildings. Beyond meeting performance and compliance requirements, facades play an important role in how architecture is understood, navigated and inhabited. In much of contemporary Australian architecture, facade design has shifted toward minimal, large-format expressions that prioritise clarity and restraint. While this approach can be visually cohesive, it can also limit the richness of experience at the level where people actually interact with buildings.

As minimalist, large-format surfaces with reduced articulation become more prevalent, there is a tension between architectural intent and human experience. At street level, expansive and visually uniform facades may feel difficult to read, offering few cues about scale, entry or use. This can contribute to environments that feel isolating, impersonal or disconnected. These outcomes are rarely intentional, yet they highlight the need to consider how facade design translates from concepts and drawings into lived experience.

Human-centred architecture provides a practical lens for addressing these challenges by focusing on how people perceive and respond to the built environment. Research shows that environments designed around human scale support comfort, orientation and long-term wellbeing. Within this framework, the facade becomes more than a protective enclosure; it acts as a communicator of depth, rhythm and character. This paper examines how considered facade specification can reintroduce human scale to contemporary buildings, helping architects use materials, detailing and system choices to improve human connection while maintaining performance.

Human scale in architecture

Architecture has always served practical needs, providing shelter, structure and spaces for specific functions. Yet its role extends further, shaping how people feel, behave and connect with the environments they occupy. It is increasingly understood that buildings and cities shape emotional wellbeing,[1] and that areas of the brain associated with spatial memory respond to the geometry and layout of the environments we move through.[2]

When buildings respond only to technical or functional requirements, they risk overlooking the human experience that unfolds within and around them. Human scale in architecture addresses this gap by recognising that the physical and emotional wellbeing of occupants is closely linked to how spaces are proportioned, articulated and perceived.

Human-centred design in architecture builds on this idea by placing people's perceptions, comfort and everyday experiences at the centre of the design process.[3] Rather than prioritising form, efficiency or visual impact alone, it begins with an understanding of how real users move through spaces, interpret their surroundings and engage with materials. This approach encourages designers to consider human experience from early concept stages through to detailed specification, ensuring that design decisions respond not only to performance criteria but also to how environments are lived in and understood.

Human-scale architecture translates these principles into built form by working in proportion to the human body and senses. Spaces designed at a human scale are typically broken down through articulation, material variation and visible detail, allowing people to better understand scale, movement and function as they approach and move alongside a building. This supports intuitive navigation and creates environments that feel more legible and approachable.

By contrast, large-format monolithic buildings often prioritise visual coherence when viewed from a distance, using expansive planar facades and minimal detailing. The absence of texture, modulation or fine-grain detail can limit opportunities for interaction and sensory engagement. This approach can make buildings feel imposing, abstract or disconnected from everyday human experience.

How humans respond to facade design

People do not experience buildings as abstract compositions; they read them instinctively as they move through streets, approach entries and pass along facades. Elements such as depth, variation and visual complexity act as cues that help people understand where they are, how a building is used and whether it feels welcoming or remote. In this sense, facades function like visual “instructions” or a “script”,[4] guiding perception and shaping emotional responses long before a space is entered.

Specific facade attributes influence how people engage with a building. From a distance, variation in light and shadow helps a building situate itself within the surrounding environment. As people move closer, repetition and rhythm in elements such as windows, fins or panels make scale easier to read and movement more intuitive. At close range, material texture, detailing and pattern become important. A brick facade with expressed joints, textured cement panels, a timber-lined entry recess or a metal screen with layered perforation all provide visual depth that people respond to positively. These visual features provide cues that humans can use to orient themselves, compared with large, uninterrupted surfaces that can feel difficult to interpret.

Texture and material expression play a key role. Rough-sawn timber, articulated metal cladding or planted screens create shadow, contrast and subtle variation throughout the day, adding visual richness that the eye naturally engages with. This is one reason biophilic design strategies are so effective: green facades, vertical planting or timber elements introduce natural patterns and irregularity that people associate with comfort and reduced stress.[5] Research consistently shows that environments with this kind of visual richness support more positive emotional responses than monotonous settings. In a 2013 virtual reality experiment in Iceland, participants viewed a range of residential street environments and consistently found streets with higher levels of architectural variation to be more engaging.[6]

> “At street level, expansive and visually uniform facades may feel difficult to read, offering few cues about scale, entry or use. This can contribute to environments that feel isolating, impersonal or disconnected.”

Reintroducing human-scale into facades through texture, rhythm and variation

In many contemporary projects, facade specification is shaped as much by market constraints as by design intent. Large-format aluminium panels in a limited range of standard colours and finishes have become a default choice, valued for their efficiency, availability and ease of coordination. While these systems perform well from a construction perspective, their widespread use has contributed to increasingly uniform building stock, where visual simplicity at scale can come at the expense of human engagement.

Practical considerations often discourage variation. Non-standard finishes may carry cost premiums or extended lead times, and coordinating multiple colours or textures across a facade can introduce additional complexity during documentation and installation. As a result, facades are frequently simplified to reduce risk. The outcome is not poor architecture, but buildings that are consequently less engaging visually.

In this context, materials such as fibre cement panels present a viable alternative to aluminium, particularly where fire performance, durability and long-term appearance are key considerations. As a non-combustible material, fibre cement supports compliance with increasingly stringent fire safety requirements while reducing reliance on applied coatings or surface treatments to achieve visual interest. Its inherent texture and subtle tonal variation allow facades to express depth and material character naturally, rather than relying on uniform finishes.

Smaller-format or modular panel systems offer an alternative that does not rely on bespoke solutions. By breaking a facade into repeatable elements, architects can introduce rhythm and proportion. For example, a mid-rise residential building might use vertical panels aligned with window bays to reinforce scale, while subtle shifts in panel depth or finish help differentiate entries or communal areas. These strategies allow variation to be introduced within a controlled, repeatable system.

Surface colour and texture play an equally important role. Flat panels can be combined with ribbed or grooved profiles to introduce shadow lines and depth without adding visual clutter. Variations in tone, whether through stone-like finishes or subtle mixes of colour, add visual interest and help break down large surfaces. As daylight moves across textured facades, shadows and highlights reveal depth and variation within the material. Some materials improve with age, picking up subtle changes in tone. Over time, weathering further enhances these qualities, allowing materials that patina well to develop character.

“Spaces designed at a human scale are typically broken down through articulation, material variation and visible detail, allowing people to better understand scale, movement and function as they approach and move alongside a building.”

Vetérro fibre cement and the human-scale facade

Reintroducing human scale to contemporary facades requires materials and systems that support visual depth, texture and variation without compromising durability, constructability or sustainability. When supported by repeatable, performance-driven systems, these qualities can be realised in facades that balance design intent, environmental performance and human connection.

Vetérro high-density fibre cement support human-scale facade design through its inherent material characteristics and range of surface colours and textures. The through-coloured composition of Vetérro panels highlights the raw, matte texture of cement, with subtle variations in colour and pigment creating minor shifts in tonality across individual panels. These variations, whether it is Vetérro Surface's textured natural stone finish or Vetérro Lusso's more subtle colour palette, introduce richness and tactility to modern facades. Because colour and texture extend through the panel, consistent visual quality is maintained at edges, joints and cut-outs.

Over time, exposure to weather enhances these surface characteristics. As the panels age, tonal variation and texture become more pronounced, reinforcing a sense of warmth, permanence and authenticity. This gradual change contributes to buildings that feel familiar and grounded, particularly in settings where long-term use and comfort are important. In community-focused environments such as schools and healthcare facilities, this evolving character supports facades that remain visually engaging and approachable throughout their lifecycle.

From a design and specification perspective, Vetérro's modular panel formats and range of surface profiles give architects a controlled way to introduce rhythm, proportion and visual richness into facade designs. Profiles such as Vetérro Groove, with its deep, parallel lines, and Vetérro Rigo, characterised by linear grooves and subtle surface and colour variation, create depth and articulation across the facade surface. As light changes across the facade, these profiles introduce shadow and variation that bring large exterior walls to life.

These design strategies are underpinned by performance properties that support confident architectural specification. Vetérro's durability, non-combustibility and impact resistance suit high-use and demanding applications, while its independently verified Environmental Product Declaration supports responsible material selection. Its compatibility with ventilated facade assemblies further assists in meeting moisture management and thermal performance objectives at a system level.

Vetérro high-density prefinished fibre cement is available from HVG Facades.

References

1 Abbott, Alison. “City Living Marks the Brain.” Nature, Vol. 474 (2011): 429.

2 Grieves, Roddy M. and Kate J. Jeffery. “The Representation of Space in the Brain.” Behavioural Processes, Vol. 135 (2017): 113–131.

3 University of the Built Environment. “Human-centred design.” UBE. https://www.ube.ac.uk/whats-happening/articles/human-centered-design (accessed 1 February 2026).

4 Ogunnaike, Adekunle, Eyoma Idongesit Daniel, and Atulegwu Akudo Ebunoluwa. “Spaces That Speak: How Architecture Shapes Human Emotion, Behaviour, and Well-Being.” International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management, Vol. 5, No. 4 (2025): 325–333.

5 Terrapin Bright Green. “14 Patterns of Biophilic Design.” TBG. https://www.terrapinbrightgreen.com/reports/14-patterns (accessed 1 February 2026).

6 Lindal, Pall J. and Terry Hartig. “Architectural Variation, Building Height, and the Restorative Quality of Urban Residential Streetscapes.” Journal of Environmental Psychology, Vol. 33 (2013): 26–36.

All information provided correct as of February 2026